Genetic Biocontrol of Invasive Rodents Program

Innovative Development for Preventing and Responding to Extinction Threats, Pandemics and Food Insecurity

Pandemic Prediction and Forecasting Science and Technology Working Group February 22, 2017











Key Points

Invasive species - rodents

• Impact health, environment, food, economy

Gene drives - naturally occurring and manufactured

Robust program development

- US, AU, NZ partnership
- Shared values

Invasive Species

Defined as...

An organism... that occurs outside of its natural range...whose introduction causes or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm, or harm to human, animal, or plant health.

Executive Order 13751



Health Impacts

N.Y. / REGION

Rare Disease Strikes a Bronx Area All Too Familiar With Rats

By MARC SANTORA and NOAH REMNICK FEB. 15, 2017



This is an official
CDC HEALTH ADVISORY

Distributed via the CDC Health Alert Network January 24, 2017, 14:00 ET (2:00 PM ET) CDCHAN-00400

Investigation of Seoul Virus Outbreak Associated with Home-based, Rat-breeding Facilities in Wisconsin and Illinois

ARTICLE

EXPOSURE TO URBAN RATS AS A COMMUNITY STRESSOR AMONG LOW-INCOME URBAN RESIDENTS

Danielle German and Carl A. Latkin Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health

Gene Drives

Gregor Mendel described 'selfish genes' in 1860

'Selfish' P element

Proposal to link selfish elements to 'Gene drives'



Gene Drives

- Cause genes to be inherited more frequently than normal – up to 100%
- Sexual reproduction
- Ability to modify wild populations by design
 - Insert new, modify or delete genes
- CRISPR-Cas9





Environmental Impacts

Invasive rodents

- 4 species impact 88% of critically endangered & endangered vertebrate species on islands
- Linked to 30% of all extinctions in last 500 years
- Cascading effects
- Ecosystem services





Food Security Impacts

- US suffers >\$19bn in agricultural losses from invasive rodents
- Globally, 280 million undernourished people could benefit if pre- and post-harvest losses by rodents were reduced



Conventional rodent control

- Usually involves lethal control techniques (poisoning, trapping)
- Issues:
 - Cost of repeat application
 - Limited effectiveness at low density
 - Environmental contamination
 - Animal welfare
 - Non-target effects on other species
 - Inaccessible to low income families







Gene Drive

T-Complex – natural

CRISPR/Cas9 - manufactured





93.6% n = 1178



Mammalian Sex Determination

Bias sex ratio

- Sry
- Sox9



Technical approach -Start with mice

- Model vertebrate for genetics
- Short generation-time
- Are small and husbandry is straight-forward
- Invasive in many countries

Technology platform



Risks – actively looking for deal-breakers

Doesn't drive; unstable, not target specific

- Multiple approaches underway
- Biosecure trials and modelling

Unwanted geographic spread

Target private alleles not present in native populations

Socio-political unacceptance

Proactive inclusive approach underway

No deal breakers identified yet



Gene Drives on the Horizon

Advancing Science, Navigating Uncertainty, and Aligning Research with Public Values



Leverage biology

- Population ~1000 house mice
- Released 42 male & 35 female mice from Eday Island
- After 18 months, all mice trapped were hybrids (n=70)
- Males were disproportionately responsible for 'invasion'
- Leverage biology and promiscuity





Consultation with Australian Regulators



CSIRO-RSN Roundtable

June 30th 2016, Boardroom, Discovery Centre, Black Mountain, Canberra

Gene drive regulation in Australia



Risk Assessment (led by Keith Hayes)



Community engagement, regulatory requirement, communications

Risk Ass





negative fitness costs

FT31216

1.0000E+00

Community Engagement

 To assist with community engagement, we are building on what we learned from previous experience with the Eliminate Dengue project



RESEARCH ARTICLE

What Makes Community Engagement Effective?: Lessons from the *Eliminate Dengue* Program in Queensland Australia

Pamela A. Kolopack^{1,2,3}, Janet A. Parsons^{2,4,5}, James V. Lavery^{1,2,3}

Mouse plagues in Australia

- Cause significant damage (\$M100s per plague)
- Occur in grain-growing regions of Australia (since 1904)
- Occur irregularly (1 year in 2 in Qld)
- Concurrent, widespread increase in densities (>1000 mice/ha)
- After crash, very low densities (< 5 mice/ha)





Modelling unexpected ecological consequences

Introduced rats indirectly change marine rocky intertidal communities from algae- to invertebrate-dominated

Carolyn M. Kurle*, Donald A. Croll, and Bernie R. Tershy[†]

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, 100 Shaffer Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Communicated by Donald Kennedy, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, January 22, 2008 (received for review September 10, 2007)





"adjoint (-A)"												
11	-6	-6	-11	-9	5	2	-7	1				
4	0	-3	-4	-3	1	1	-2					
4	-3	0	-4	-3	1	1	-2					
-11	6	6	14	9	-5	-2	7					
-5	3	3	5	6	-2	-2	4					
7	-3	-3	-7	-6	4	1	-5					
-6	3	3	6	6	-3	0	3					
1	0	0	-1	0	1	1	1					

"Based on average proportion of correct sign"												
1.0	0.88	0.88	1.0	1.0	0.94	0.79	1.0					
0.79	0.50	0.69	0.79	0.73	0.57	0.60	0.67					
0.79	0.69	0.50	0.79	0.73	0.57	0.60	0.67					
1.0	0.88	0.88	0.83	1.0	0.94	0.79	1.0					
0.90	0.73	0.73	0.90	0.72	0.72	0.62	0.75					
1.0	0.69	0.69	1.0	0.94	0.75	0.63	0.86					
1.0	0.79	0.79	1.0	0.80	0.83	0.50	0.68					
0.63	0.50	0.50	0.63	0.50	0.58	0.57	0.55					

Targets for gene drive in Australia?



Genome SNP analyses on *Aedes* mosquito interceptions at Australian airports





TAPPAS

https://research.csiro.au/tappas/

TAPPAS – Tool for Assessing Pest and Pathogen **Aerial Spread**

An online software tool for modelling the dispersal of living organisms.









31 runs (23 hours each) simulated

1 location selected using list

Pre-human settlement

• only 3 native mammals





Polynesian settlers (10th century AD onwards)

2 mammals introduced







Captain Cook / whalers (18th century AD)

• 5 more mammals introduced













European settlers (19th century AD onwards)

 25 more mammals introduced (and accompanying diseases)





For NEW ZEALAND, With the first Body of Settlers FROM SCOTLAND, On FRIDAY, Oct. 25. SINGLE WOMEN, going out as Servants to Cabin Passengers, or in charge of Married Emigrauts, will re-

All Goods and Luggage must be forwarded by the 20th instant at latest, on which day the Ship will clear out. For Freight (having room for dead Weight and Mea-

> JOHN CRAWFORD, 24. QUEEN STREET.

> > F. Clark, Scinites, Argon

ceive a Free Passage on board of this Ship.

surement Goods) and Passage, apply to

NEW ZEALAND LAND CO.'s OFFICE.)

OR ADDRESS, NOR TAXA. LINES.











Cost of invasive mammals in New Zealand







Most 'extinctionprone' avifauna in the world Primary reservoir for bovine TB and other diseases Susceptibility to incursions e.g. FMD, theileriosis

The government estimates the cost of introduced species to the New Zealand economy and primary sector to be NZ\$3.3bn a year (or US\$2.4bn)



ORIGINAL PRESS RELEASE 25 JULY, 2016: New Zealand to be Predator Free by 2050

"Prime Minister John Key has today announced the Government has adopted the goal of New Zealand becoming Predator Free by 2050."

Four interim 2025 goals:

- 1. Suppress predators on a further 1 million hectares
- 2. Eradicate predators from at least 20,000 hectares without the use of fences
- 3. Eradicate predators from island nature reserves
- 4. Achieve a breakthrough science solution capable of eradicating at least one small mammal predator.



Social licence to operate in NZ

- The New Zealand public is historically anti-GMO
- The Treaty of Waitangi recognizes Māori ownership of lands and forests, and their kaitiakitanga (guardianship)



- 2012 national survey 50% believed not enough is being done (increased since)
- 2014 focus groups general support for research into new tools (including genetic)
- Ngā Matapopore (The Watchful Ones) keen for international discussion of gene drives
- COP13 / COP-MOP8 / COP-MOP2 New Zealand took a firm stand on opposing the global moratorium on gene drives and the attempt to equate gene drives with synthetic biology

Gene drive modelling needs

Blues skies fundamental (proof of concept)

- relatively simple; very conceptual
- deterministic or simulation



Product specifications (guide R&D)

- complex simulation models
- combined genetic, evolutionary and ecological factors
- multiple scale
- scenario exploration
- non-spatial, quasi-spatial (e.g. metapopulation) and fully spatial models
- increasing intensity of computing

Identifying risks and hurdles

 more complexity (evolutionary dynamics, spatial, stochastic, biotic interactions)



Application strategies

- complex simulation models (including individual-based models)
- fully spatial and location/context tailored (integration with GIS layers)
- realistic target species life-history dynamics (including compensatory effects) and dispersal dynamics
- very computer intensive

Genetic Biocontrol of Invasive Rodents Program

Thanks to:

Fred Gould - NCSU John Godwin – NCSU David Threadgill – Texas A&M Univ. Toni Piaggio – USDA, NWRC Aaron Shiels - USDA, NWRC John Eisemann – USDA, NWRC Paul Thomas – Univ. of Adelaide Gregg Howald – Island Conservation

GBIRd Program Contact:

royden.saah@islandconservation.org

Presenter Contacts:

karl.campbell@islandconservation.org owain.edwards@csiro.au tompkinsd@landcareresearch.co.nz

